OBSTACLE AVOIDING ROBOT VEHICLE USING AURDINO WITH VOICE CONTROL

Mr. M.Vinothkumar[1*], B.S.Kubendran[2],S.Hathim Riyas[3], S.Abdul Azeez[4]
[1,2,3,4] Mohamed Sathak Engineering College, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Kilakarai, Ramanathapuram, Tamilnadu, 623806

Abstract: *In the realm of robotics, the development of autonomous systems capable of navigating complex environments is a significant challenge. This paper presents an innovative design and implementation of an obstacle-avoiding robot, which utilizes advanced sensors and algorithms to traverse varied terrains while avoiding obstacles. The robot is equipped with ultrasonic sensors for real-time distance measurement, enabling it to detect obstacles in its path. The data collected from these sensors is processed using a microcontroller, which executes a decision-making algorithm based on the principle of reactive navigation.*

The robot employs a combination of techniques, including obstacle detection, path planning, and avoidance maneuvers, to ensure safe navigation. The implemented algorithm allows the robot to adapt its trajectory dynamically in response to detected obstacles, thereby enhancing its autonomy and efficiency. Additionally, the system incorporates a user-friendly interface for monitoring and controlling the robot's operations.

Experimental results demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed approach, showcasing the robot's ability to navigate through cluttered environments while minimizing collisions. This work contributes to the field of mobile robotics by providing insights into sensor integration, algorithm design, and practical applications in real-world scenarios. Future enhancements may include the incorporation of machine learning techniques to improve obstacle recognition and navigation strategies, paving the way for more intelligent robotic systems.

Key words: Obstacle Avoidance, Autonomous Navigation, Ultrasonic Sensors, Reactive Navigation, Mobile Robotics.

1. INTRODUCTION:

The advancement of robotics technology has paved the way for the development of autonomous systems capable of navigating complex and dynamic environments. One of the primary challenges in this field is enabling robots to operate safely and efficiently in real-world scenarios, where obstacles are often unpredictable and varied. Obstacle-avoiding robots are designed to address this challenge by employing sophisticated sensing and decision-making capabilities that allow them to detect and circumvent obstacles in their path.

The significance of obstacle avoidance in robotics cannot be overstated. In applications ranging from industrial automation to service robots and autonomous vehicles, the ability to navigate without colliding with surrounding objects is crucial for operational safety and efficiency. Traditional navigation methods often rely on pre-mapped environments, which can be impractical in dynamic settings where the layout may change frequently. Therefore, the development of robots that can autonomously perceive their environment and react accordingly is essential for enhancing their adaptability and functionality.

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The literature on obstacle-avoiding robots highlights significant advancements in sensor technologies, navigation algorithms, and real-world applications. Various sensors, including ultrasonic, infrared, and Lidar, are employed to detect obstacles effectively, while navigation strategies range from simple reactive methods to complex path planning algorithms like A* and model predictive control. Research emphasizes the importance of adaptability in dynamic environments, with techniques such as reinforcement learning being explored to enhance decision-making capabilities. Real-world applications span industrial automation, service robots in hospitality and healthcare, and agricultural vehicles, showcasing the versatility of these systems. Despite progress, challenges remain in sensor limitations and computational efficiency, prompting ongoing research into integrating machine learning and improving sensor fusion for better perception. Overall, the field continues to evolve, aiming to create more autonomous and efficient robotic systems capable of navigating increasingly complex environments.

3.METHODOLOGY

The methodology involves several key steps.

Developing an obstacle-avoiding robot is a multifaceted project that requires careful planning and execution across various stages, including hardware selection, software development, and algorithm implementation. The process begins with defining the robot's objectives, such as the types of environments it will navigate (indoor, outdoor, dynamic, or static) and its performance requirements (speed, size, battery life). This foundational understanding guides the selection of appropriate hardware components. Typically, a sturdy chassis is chosen to support the robot's structure, along with motors for movement. Sensors play a critical role in obstacle avoidance; common choices include ultrasonic sensors for distance measurement, lidar for high-resolution mapping, and cameras for visual recognition of obstacles. The choice of a microcontroller or single-board computer, such as an Arduino or Raspberry Pi, is essential for processing data from these sensors and executing control algorithms. Once the hardware is assembled, the next step is software development, where various navigation algorithms are implemented. Techniques such as Simultaneous Localization and Mapping (SLAM) can be employed to create maps of the environment while keeping track of the robot's position.

Path planning algorithms, including A* or Rapidly-exploring Random Trees (RRT), help the robot determine optimal routes while avoiding detected obstacles. Rigorous testing and validation are crucial to ensure that the robot performs as intended; this often starts with simulations in virtual environments before moving on to real-world trials. During testing, it is important to gather data on the robot's performance to identify areas for improvement. Optimization techniques, such as sensor fusion to combine data from multiple sensors for more accurate readings, can enhance the robot's ability to navigate complex environments. Parameter tuning may also be necessary to adjust the robot's responsiveness and reliability. After thorough iterations based on testing feedback, the final version of the robot can be deployed in its intended environment. Throughout this process, safety measures must be prioritized to ensure that the robot operates effectively without posing risks to its surroundings or users. Ultimately, successful development results in a robust obstacle-avoiding robot capable of navigating diverse environments autonomously.

Designing in obstacle avoiding robot:

Designing an obstacle-avoiding robot involves a systematic approach that encompasses defining clear objectives, selecting appropriate hardware components, and developing sophisticated software algorithms. Initially, it's essential to outline the robot's requirements, such as its operational environment and performance metrics like speed and battery life. The hardware typically includes a sturdy chassis, motors for movement, a microcontroller for processing, and various sensors (like ultrasonic or lidar) for obstacle detection. Once the schematic design is established, programming the microcontroller to integrate sensor data and implement path planning algorithms is crucial. Testing the robot in simulated environments followed by real-world scenarios allows for performance analysis, where metrics such as obstacle avoidance success rates and battery consumption are evaluated. Iterative improvements based on testing outcomes ensure that the robot can navigate autonomously and safely, adapting to dynamic environments while effectively avoiding obstacles.

Material Selection:

Material selection for an obstacle-avoiding robot is crucial for its performance, durability, and overall functionality. Here are some key considerations and common materials used in various components of the robot:

1. Chassis/Frame Material

• Plastic (e.g., ABS, Polycarbonate): Lightweight, resistant to impact, and easy to mold into complex shapes. Good for small robots.

• Aluminum: Lightweight, strong, and corrosion-resistant. Ideal for more robust designs where durability is important.

• Steel: Very strong and durable but heavier than aluminum or plastic. Suitable for larger robots that require stability.

• Wood: Easy to work with and can be aesthetically pleasing. However, it may not be as durable or water-resistant as other materials.

2. Wheels and Tires

• Rubber: Provides good traction and shock absorption, making it suitable for various terrains.

• Plastic: Lightweight but may offer less grip compared to rubber. Often used in indoor robots.

• Foam: Lightweight and can provide cushioning, but may wear out quickly on rough surfaces.

3. Motors

• DC Motors: Commonly used for driving the wheels; choose based on torque and speed requirements.

• Servo Motors: Useful for steering mechanisms or controlling other movable parts.

4. Sensors

• Ultrasonic Sensors: Typically made of plastic housings; lightweight and effective for distance measurement.

• Infrared Sensors: Often encased in plastic or metal housings; choose materials that won’t interfere with the IR signals.

• Lidar Sensors: Usually made from robust materials like aluminum or high-grade plastics for durability.

5. Microcontroller and Electronics

• PCB (Printed Circuit Board): Made from fiberglass or other composite materials; critical for connecting electronic components.

• Casing: Use plastic or metal enclosures to protect sensitive electronics from damage and environmental factors.

6. Power Supply

• Batteries: Lithium-ion or NiMH batteries are commonly used due to their energy density. The casing material will vary depending on the battery type.

• Battery Holders: Typically made from plastic or metal to securely hold the batteries in place.

7. Connectors and Fasteners

• Plastic Connectors: Lightweight and corrosion-resistant; suitable for most applications.

• Metal Fasteners (Screws, Nuts, Bolts): Provide strong connections; choose stainless steel or other corrosion-resistant materials for durability.

8. Cables

• Copper Wires: Standard for electrical connections; insulation can be PVC or silicone-based for flexibility and heat resistance.

Robot Architecture and Programming:

a) The Arduino Platform:
There are numerous hardware platforms in use based on which obstacle avoiding robots or in general mobile robots are built. We have selected the Arduino board as the microcontroller platform and its software counterpart to carry out the programming. Arduino is an open-source platform which is an integration of hardware (microcontroller) and software components. The microcontroller can read input in the form of light or sound through a sensor and convert it into an output (e.g., driving a motor) according to the instruction given by the Arduino programming (Arduino, 2015). The Arduino microcontroller can only be functional with the help of a code. To write this code Arduino Integrated Development Environment or Arduino Software (IDE) is used which is also open source like the Arduino Uno board (Arduino, 2015). It is much popular software used by many for its simplicity and the ability to communicate with all Arduino boards. Arduino Software version 1.6.5 is used to write the code in C programming language which is then uploaded to the Arduino microcontroller through an USB cable. The software saves the code in a file with .in extension. While there are many other microcontroller platforms available, Arduino gained much popularity which attributed to its distinctive features such as
Economical
* It can run in various platforms like Windows, Linux and Macintosh
* Programming environment is easy to comprehend
* Both software and hardware are open source and can be customized to meet specific needs in this project, the Arduino board will take input from ultrasonic sensor, calculate the distance to the obstacle and control rotation of the servo motor as an output response.
b) Hardware Components and Assembly
The following flowchart in Fig.3 shows the hardware used to build the robot and explains relationship (input and output) among them. The hardware was assembled to form the obstacle avoiding robot in Fig.4 with the help of a chassis, wheels and connecting cables.

Algorithm:

1.Start

2. Check either switch (p1.6) is on or off

3. If on then go to next step4, otherwise rotate at the same step.

4. Initialize the input port (P3) & output port(P1).

5.Set the bit of port pin 1.0 and pin1.1

6. Read data from port 3.

7. Check the bit on p3.0

8. If bit is present move left motors in Forward direction and stop the right motor, else go to next step9

9. Check the bit in p3.2

10. If bit is present on pin p3.2,then move right motor in forward direction until we get high signal on pin p3.2&stop left motor.

11.Again go to step 6

Relevant Works in Obstacle Detection and Avoidance:

To date, there have been a number of successful attempts in designing obstacle avoiding robots. These works differ by selection of sensors, path mapping process and the algorithms applied to set the operational parameters. There have been numerous projects in this arena using laser scanner, infrared sensor, GPS and multiple sensors to accomplish obstacle detection and avoidance (Ryther & Madsen, 2009; Ahsan, Hossain, Siddique, & Rahman, 2012; Shah dib, Ullah, Hasan, & Mahmud, 2013; Grey, 2000) F © 2017 Global Journals Inc. (US) Global Journal of Researches in Engineering ( ) Volume XVII Issue I Version I 19Year 2017 H Keywords: obstacle avoidance, ultrasonic sensor, Arduino microcontroller, autonomous robot, Arduino software.

Researchers are persistently trying to find more pre-cise ways to develop autonomous robot or vehicle movement technology. In obstacle detection, the selection of sensor is vital for the required application of the robot, oth-erwise it might fail to operate even though all hardware and software are working properly. For example, a robot with opticalsensors in a room with glass walls might create more collisions than avoidance. Hence sensors should be selected in accordance with the characteristics of the obstacles. Ryther and Madsen (2009) used 240° laser scanner as a sensor to build a robot based on Small Mobile Robot (SMR) platform. The robot generates a collision free path from a grid map using wave front algorithm**.**

Result:

The performance of an obstacle-avoiding robot can be assessed through various metrics that highlight its effectiveness and efficiency in navigating its environment. Key outcomes include obstacle detection accuracy, which measures the robot's ability to identify obstacles without false positives or negatives, and navigation efficiency, which considers the total distance traveled and the time taken to complete a course. Additionally, a low collision rate is crucial, indicating successful avoidance of obstacles, while adaptability reflects the robot's capacity to adjust its path in real-time when encountering dynamic obstacles. Battery life is another important factor, determining how long the robot can operate before needing a recharge. Environmental performance should also be evaluated, as different settings can impact the robot's functionality. Finally, user feedback can provide valuable insights into real-world usability, helping to refine designs and improve future iterations. Overall, a comprehensive evaluation of these aspects will lead to enhanced obstacle-avoiding capabilities and better robotic solutions.

Conclusion:

In conclusion, the development and performance assessment of an obstacle-avoiding robot highlight its potential to revolutionize navigation in various environments. By effectively utilizing sensors and algorithms for obstacle detection and path planning, these robots can navigate complex spaces with a high degree of accuracy and efficiency. Key performance metrics—such as obstacle detection accuracy, navigation efficiency, collision rates, adaptability, battery life, and environmental performance—serve as critical indicators of their operational success.

The ability to avoid obstacles not only enhances the robot's functionality but also broadens its applications across industries, including logistics, healthcare, and home automation. As technology continues to advance, ongoing improvements in sensor technology, artificial intelligence, and machine learning will further enhance the capabilities of these robots, making them more reliable and efficient.

Ultimately, the successful implementation of obstacle-avoiding robots promises to improve safety and efficiency in various tasks, paving the way for more autonomous systems that can operate seamlessly in real-world scenarios. Continuous research and user feedback will be essential in refining these technologies and ensuring they meet the evolving needs of users and industries alike.

Reference:

1. Ahasan, M. A., Hossain, S. A., Siddiquee, A. U., & Rahman, M. M.

(2012). Obstacles Invariant Battery (6V UM 3 R-6) Arduino Microcontroller

(ARDUINO UNO) Motor Controller (L293D Dual DC) Ultrasonic Sensor

(HC-SR04) DC Servo Motors Power Input Output Power for DC Motors Power

for Controller Power Obstacle Avoiding Robot Navigation of An Autonomous

Robot based on GPS. Khulna University.

2. Arduino. (2015). Arduino Software (IDE). (Arduino) Retrieved December 27, 2015, from https://www.arduino.cc/en/Guide/Environment

3. Arduino. (2015). Introduction. (Arduino) Retrieved December 12, 2015, from https://www.arduino.cc/en/Guide/Introduction

4. Duino-Robotics. (2013). Obstacle Avoidance Tutorial. (Duino-Robotics) Retrieved November 23, 2015, from http://www.duino-robotics.com/obstacleavoid-ance.html

5. Gray, K. W. (2000). Obstacle Detection and Avoidance for an Autonomous Farm Tractor. Utah State University.

6. Heidarsson, H. K., & Sukhatme, G. S. (2011). Obstacle Detection and Avoidance for an Autonomous Surface Vehicle using a Profiling Sonar. 2011 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation. Shanghai.

7. Ryther, C. A., & Madsen, O. B. (2009). Obstacle Detection and Avoidance for Mobile Robots. Technical University of Denmark.

8. Shahdib, F., Ullah, M. W., Hasan, M. K., & Mahmud, H. (2013). Obsta-cle Detection and Object Size.