Green Chemistry Principles in Biodiesel Production from Argemone Mexicana: Process Optimization and Life Cycle Assessment

Dr. Brajesh Kumar Mishra[1], Dr. Indu Shukla[2]
[1]Assistant Professor, Deptt. of Chemistry, Om Shri Vishwakarma Ji Mahavidyalata, Kanpur Dehat, (CSJM University Kanpur) India
ORCID ID- 0009-0005-7539-0511
[2]Assistant Professor, Deptt. of Mathematics, M.J.K. College Bettiah (BRABU Muzaffarpur), India
ORCID ID- 0009-0001-9567-8484

ABSTRACT

Argemone mexicana, a non-edible plant adaptable to diverse climates, has emerged as a promising biodiesel feedstock. This study investigated the potential of A. mexicana seeds as a sustainable biodiesel source, focusing on oil extraction, transesterification, and fuel characterisation. The seeds, which contained 43% oil, were processed using solvent extraction and mechanical pressing techniques. Transesterification was performed using calcium oxide nanoparticles as a catalyst, achieving an optimal biodiesel yield of 96% under specific reaction conditions. The fatty acid profile of A. mexicana oil was analysed and compared with those of other non-edible oils, revealing its suitability for biodiesel production. The resulting biodiesel exhibited fuel properties compliant with international standards, such as cetane number, viscosity, and energy content. A life cycle assessment and techno-economic analysis were conducted to evaluate the environmental impact and economic feasibility of A. mexicana biodiesel production. The results demonstrated reduced greenhouse gas emissions and potential cost benefits compared with conventional diesel. The application of green chemistry principles, such as waste reduction and byproduct utilisation, further enhances the sustainability of the production process. This study also explored the marketing and entrepreneurship landscape for A. mexicana biodiesel, identifying opportunities for local farmers, small-scale producers, and biofuel companies. Future research directions include process optimisation, scale-up studies, and long-term sustainability assessments. Overall, this study highlights the potential of A. mexicana as a viable feedstock for biodiesel production, contributing to sustainable energy solutions and rural economic development.

Keywords: Green chemistry, Biodiesel, Life cycle assessment, Solvent extraction, Catalyst

I. INTRODUCTION

a. Background Green and Sustainable Entrepreneurship: Green and sustainable entrepreneurship are key components in promoting ecological and economic sustainability in business practices. Green entrepreneurship is defined as business activities that not only aim to create economic value but also minimize environmental impact [1]. This form of entrepreneurship is crucial in addressing climate change and environmental degradation while fostering economic growth [1]. The role of green business practices is vital as they contribute to resource efficiency, waste reduction, and the development of sustainable products and services [2]. Current trends in sustainable business practices emphasize integrating sustainability into core business strategies. Small and medium enterprises (SMEs) have become central players in the green market, leveraging their flexibility to innovate and create eco-friendly solutions [3]. The rise of sustainability reporting by companies also highlights a growing trend towards transparency in environmental and social impacts [4]. Trends such as the use of sustainable nanomaterials in supply chains and advancements in green building materials showcase the adoption of environmentally friendly technologies [2,5]. Furthermore, green innovation plays a significant role in enhancing business efficiencies and achieving sustainable goals [6].

In terms of renewable energy, biodiesel stands out as a significant alternative to fossil fuels. Biodiesel is produced from renewable resources like vegetable oils and animal fats and is biodegradable and non-toxic, making it an environmentally friendly option [3]. It contributes to reducing greenhouse gas emissions and can be used in existing diesel engines, enhancing its practicality as a sustainable fuel source [3].

b. Argemone Mexicana: An Overview: Argemone Mexicana, commonly known as the Mexican prickly poppy, is a plant of significant interest due to its diverse applications and economic potential.

Botanical Description and Distribution: Argemone Mexicana, belonging to the Papaveraceae family, is characterised by bright yellow flowers and spiny, bluish-green leaves. It is native to the western region of the USA-Mexico border but has since spread to tropical and subtropical regions worldwide [7]. This plant is particularly adaptable to harsh conditions, allowing it to proliferate in diverse environments. It is often regarded as a weed in many parts because of its hardy nature [8].

Traditional Uses and Economic Importance: Traditionally, Argemone Mexicana has been used in various medicinal applications. It is known for its antimicrobial, antiparasitic, antimalarial, and cytotoxic properties, largely attributed to its content of benzylisoquinoline alkaloids, such as berberine and chelerythrine [7,9]. These alkaloids exhibit broad-spectrum antibacterial and antifungal activities [9,10]. In various cultures, it has been used to treat ailments ranging from infections to inflammation and chronic diseases [8]. Its application in traditional medicine underscores its economic significance, as it provides a natural alternative to synthetic drugs in several areas.

Potential as a Non-Food Crop for Biodiesel Production: Argemone Mexicana shows great promise as a non-food crop for biodiesel production, particularly because of its high seed oil content (43%) [11]. The feasibility of converting Argemone Mexicana seed oil into biodiesel has been explored, with promising results showing an optimal biodiesel yield of 96% using a transesterification process [11]. The use of non-edible oils, such as Argemone Mexicana, is critical in biodiesel production as it does not compete with food crops, addressing both energy and food security concerns [12]. Furthermore, the use of calcium oxide nanoparticles as catalysts has been shown to enhance the efficiency of biodiesel synthesis from Argemone Mexicana, making it a viable option for sustainable biofuel production [11].

c. Research Objectives and Significance: The exploration of *Argemone Mexicana* for biodiesel production focuses on creating a sustainable and environmentally friendly energy source to address the growing concerns over fossil fuel consumption. This study aimed to utilise oil extracted from *Argemone Mexicana* seeds as a potential feedstock for biodiesel, implementing green chemistry principles to optimise the production process. This aligns with the sustainability indicators crucial for assessing biodiesel production, such as atom economy, environmental factors, and reaction efficiency, which minimise waste generation and reduce energy consumption [13,14].

The potential impact of using *Argemone Mexicana* for biodiesel production is significant for the sustainable energy development. By incorporating biodiesel derived from this plant into the energy mix, the reliance on non-renewable fossil fuels may be significantly reduced, contributing positively to environmental sustainability [14]. Moreover, it could support rural economies by providing an alternative income source and fostering local industries focused on biodiesel production, thereby enhancing economic stability and development in rural areas [15].

Regarding the relevance to green chemistry and sustainable development goals (SDGs), the application of green chemistry principles in biodiesel production ensures that the processes are designed to avoid waste and reduce the use of hazardous substances, aligning with SDG 7 (Affordable and Clean Energy), SDG 9 (Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure), and SDG 13 (Climate Action) [16,17]. Through innovations in process intensification and catalytic efficiency, the development of biodiesel from *Argemone Mexicana* demonstrates a path towards achieving these goals by promoting cleaner energy solutions and fostering sustainable industrial practices [18]. Therefore, exploring *Argemone Mexicana* for biodiesel not only advances environmental sustainability but also supports the broader agenda of global sustainable development through its alignment with the principles of green chemistry and its potential to benefit rural economies.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

In the production of biodiesel from *Argemone Mexicana*, meticulous seed collection and preparation are critical to ensure the quality and efficiency of the process. The following details outline the procedures involved.

Sampling Methods and Locations: *Argemone Mexicana* seeds are typically collected from regions where the plant grows abundantly, such as desolate lands. For instance, in the Marathwada region of Maharashtra, India, *Argemone Mexicana* is commonly found and can be used as a viable feedstock for biodiesel production [9,11]. The selection of collection sites relies on plant abundance and the potential for sustainable harvesting without disturbing local ecosystems.

Seed Processing and Storage Procedures: Once collected, the seeds were cleaned to remove foreign materials, such as leaves and stones, to ensure seed purity. These seeds are then dried to a stable moisture level to preserve the oil quality and prevent microbial growth during storage. The drying process may involve air-drying or mechanical methods, depending on the scale and facility availability. Processed seeds are stored in a cool, dry environment, often in airtight containers, to maintain their quality over time and prevent deterioration due to environmental factors [19].

a. Quality Control Measures: Quality control is a critical component of the seed preparation process for producing biodiesel. To maintain consistency, seeds are subjected to regular checks to ensure that they contain the expected oil content and are free from contamination. Techniques such as gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) are employed to perform qualitative and quantitative analyses of seed oils to ensure that they meet the necessary standards for biodiesel synthesis. Additionally, the physiological and chemical properties of the seeds were monitored to align with ASTM standards, which provide guidelines for fuel quality [11].These procedures ensure that Argemone Mexicana seeds are effectively prepared and maintained to optimise their use in biodiesel production, contributing to a sustainable energy solution.

b. Oil Extraction Techniques: When comparing oil extraction techniques, solvent extraction and mechanical pressing stand out because of their distinct methodologies and applications. Solvent extraction often involves the use of chemicals such as hexane to dissolve oil before it is separated and purified. It is highly efficient in achieving high yields but raises concerns regarding environmental and food safety due to the presence of solvent residues [20]. In contrast, mechanical pressing, a more traditional method, physically squeezes oil from plant materials.

Although it is simpler and considered safer, it generally achieves lower yields than solvent methods [21].

In this study, solvent extraction was performed. The observations were as follows:
Oil extraction Result Table

Set of Experiment				Result
S.No.	**Heating Time (hr)**	**Solvent-Solid Ratio (ml:gm)**	**Temperature (°C)**	**Oil yield (%age)**
1	**5**	**7:1**	**57**	**26.1**
2	**6**	**8:1**	**62**	**31.2**
3	**7**	**9:1**	**67**	**40.4**
4	**8**	**9:1**	**62**	**34.5**
5	**8**	**10:1**	**57**	**30.4**

Advanced methods such as ultrasound-assisted extraction (UAE) and microwave-assisted extraction (MAE) are gaining attention for their ability to enhance yield and efficiency while being more environmentally friendly than traditional methods [20,22]. These methods utilise energy inputs, such as ultrasound waves or microwaves, to increase the efficiency of the extraction process, thereby saving time and reducing solvent use [23].

Optimising extraction parameters is crucial for improving the efficacy of both traditional and modern techniques. Parameters such as temperature, solvent-to-solid ratio, and extraction time must be finely tuned to maximise the yield of the desired compounds. For instance, a study on Swertia chirata triterpenoids used response surface methodology to optimise extraction conditions, achieving high yields with specific parameters (e.g. 65°C and methanol-ethyl acetate ratio of 45%) [24]. Similarly, another study utilised genetic algorithms to optimise the hydrodistillation of Marrubium vulgare essential oil, focusing on parameters such as drying and grinding the plant material [25].

Yield calculation and analysis are other critical components, where both the extraction efficiency and quality of the final product are assessed. For example, the optimised extraction of phenolic compounds from rosemary by-products using green techniques demonstrated significant efficiency gains compared to conventional methods such as Soxhlet extraction [26]. Moreover, from an environmental perspective, UAE is superior because of its lower energy consumption and higher phenolic content yields, indicating its potential for sustainable extraction processes [26]. Although traditional methods are still widely used, novel techniques and optimised parameters provide pathways for higher efficiency, safety, and sustainability in oil extraction processes. However, the choice of method often depends on factors such as the nature of the raw material, desired product quality, and the available technological resources [27].

c. Biodiesel Production Process: The transesterification reaction is the cornerstone of biodiesel production, involving the conversion of triglycerides from vegetable oils or animal fats into fatty acid alkyl esters and glycerol through a reaction with a monohydric alcohol, typically methanol or ethanol, in the presence of a catalyst. This reaction is significantly influenced by various factors, including the reaction mode, alcohol-to-oil molar ratio, alcohol type, catalyst nature and amount, reaction time, and temperature [28].

Traditionally, chemical catalysts, specifically homogeneous base catalysts such as sodium or potassium hydroxide, have been employed because of their high conversion efficiency and cost-effectiveness. However, these catalysts pose challenges, including the need for purified feedstocks and difficulty in separating the catalyst from the biodiesel, which complicates downstream processing [29,30].

In recent years, there has been a shift towards the use of heterogeneous catalysts, such as alkali earth metal oxides synthesised by the sol-gel method, which have demonstrated superior performance in driving the transesterification reaction. These catalysts, such as CaO, MgO, and BaO doped with SiO_2, offer benefits including reusability, easier separation, and reduced environmental impact [31].

Biocatalysis using lipase enzymes is another area garnering attention because of its simplicity in downstream product recovery and purification. Although cost remains a constraint, methods such as immobilising lipases on support materials have been explored to enhance catalyst reusability and stability [29,32].

Green chemistry principles and process intensification techniques, such as microwave and ultrasound enhancements, are being integrated into transesterification processes to further improve the reaction efficiency. These methods reduce energy consumption, improve product recovery, and minimise byproduct formation, thereby advancing sustainable biodiesel production [14]. Catalyst optimisation is a critical factor in the transesterification process. It involves selecting appropriate catalysts, such as homogeneous acids or bases or heterogeneous solids, and optimising parameters, such as reaction conditions and catalyst loading, to enhance the yield and process efficiency. Recent trends have focused on developing catalytic systems that offer multifunctionality by combining esterification and transesterification capabilities [28,30].

The transesterification mechanism includes three reversible steps:

1. Diglycerides and fatty acid methyl esters are formed in the first stage of the reaction between the triglycerides of oil and methanol.
2. Monoglycerides and additional fatty acid methyl esters are formed in the second stage of the reaction between diglycerides and methanol.
3. Finally, glycerols and additional fatty acid methyl esters are formed in third stage of reaction between monoglycerides and methanol, respectively.

$$\text{Triglycerides} + \text{ROH} \xleftrightarrow{\text{catalyst}} \text{Diglyceride} + \text{R}_{\text{I}}\text{COOR}$$
$$\text{Diglycerides} + \text{ROH} \xleftrightarrow{\text{catalyst}} \text{Monoglyceride} + \text{R}_{\text{II}}\text{COOR}$$
$$\text{Monoglyceride} + \text{ROH} \xleftrightarrow{\text{catalyst}} \text{Glycerol} + \text{R}_{\text{III}}\text{COOR}$$

Reaction Parameters

Several reaction parameters influence the yield efficiency and quality of the biodiesel formed.

i. Alcohol-to-Oil Molar Ratio
ii. Catalyst Type and Concentration
iii. Reaction Temperature
iv. Reaction Time
v. Stirring Speed

d. Chemical Characterisation: Fatty acid profile analysis is critical for biodiesel characterisation, influencing its physical and chemical properties. Common methods for analysing fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) include gas chromatography (GC) and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS). GC-MS is particularly useful for identifying different FAMEs through their fragmentation patterns and retention times, as demonstrated in studies of biodiesel derived from various sources [33,34].

The physicochemical properties of biodiesel, such as density, saponification value, iodine value, cetane number, and viscosity, are vital for assessing fuel quality. These properties can be predicted from the fatty acid compositions of the source oils. The fatty acid chain length, degree of unsaturation, and composition significantly affect these properties [35,36]. Techniques such as linear regression and artificial neural networks have been used to relate fatty acid profiles to these physicochemical attributes, allowing precise property predictions [37].

Spectroscopic techniques, such as Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), are extensively used for characterisation. FTIR can quickly assess the FAME content by identifying the characteristic ester functional groups, making it a practical alternative to GC for rapid analysis [33]. NMR spectroscopy, including proton NMR (1H NMR), further aids in confirming the structural integrity and composition of biodiesel by correlating the signal patterns with the specific molecular components [38,39].

e. Environmental Impact Assessment: Biodiesel is an environmentally friendly alternative to conventional diesel, primarily because of its renewable nature and lower pollutant emissions. The environmental impact of biodiesel versus conventional diesel can be appreciated through life cycle analysis (LCA), carbon footprint calculations and comparative assessments.

Life Cycle Analysis (LCA) Methodology: LCA is a comprehensive method used to evaluate the environmental impacts associated with all stages of a product's life, from raw material extraction through production, use, and disposal. For biodiesel, LCA covers stages such as feedstock cultivation, oil extraction, biodiesel production via transesterification, distribution and combustion in engines. This process allows the quantification of impacts in categories such as global warming potential, acidification, eutrophication, and non-renewable energy consumption [40].

Carbon Footprint Calculation: The carbon footprint of biodiesel is generally lower than that of conventional diesel. This is mainly because CO_2 emissions from biodiesel combustion are partially offset by the CO_2 absorbed by plants during their growth phase, leading to a closed carbon cycle. However, additional emissions occur during biodiesel production, particularly from the use of fertilisers and pesticides in feedstock cultivation and the consumption of fossil fuels during processing and transportation. Studies have shown substantial reductions in CO_2 emissions, approximately 110%, when renewable diesel is compared with fossil diesel, emphasising the potential of biodiesel in mitigating global warming [41].

Comparison with conventional diesel: Compared with Conventional Diesel: Biodiesel typically results in lower emissions of particulate matter (PM), hydrocarbons (HC), and carbon monoxide (CO), although it may increase nitrogen oxide (NOx) emissions. The absence of sulfur in biodiesel further reduces the risk of sulfur oxide (SOx) emissions. Despite the slightly lower energy content leading to marginally reduced engine performance, the environmental benefits of biodiesel outweigh these drawbacks, especially when policy frameworks and sustainability measures support its use [42,43].

The production and use of biodiesel can play a significant role in reducing overall greenhouse gas emissions and dependency on fossil fuels. The integration of advanced technologies and continued research into feedstock and production process optimisation are crucial to fully capitalise on the environmental advantages of biodiesel.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

a. Oil Yield and Composition: The study on Argemone Mexicana seeds revealed valuable insights into its potential as a source of biodiesel production. The seeds have an oil content of 43%, which serves as a robust base for biodiesel synthesis. Utilising calcium oxide (CaO) nanoparticles, an optimal biodiesel yield of 96% was achieved under specific conditions: a 1:9 oil to methanol ratio, a catalyst amount of 15 mg, a reaction temperature of 55°C, and a reaction time of 50 min. However, the repeated use of CaO nanoparticles diminishes their catalytic activity, highlighting a practical limitation for large-scale applications.

When looking at its fatty acid profile, the potential for biodiesel is corroborated by comparative studies on non-edible oils. For instance, Pongamia pinnata oil, consisting predominantly of oleic and linoleic acids, shows promising biodiesel properties, although it is not suitable for consumption because of the presence of erucic acid and toxic flavonoids [44]. In comparison, the oil from Xanthoceras sorbifolia seeds contains high percentages of linoleic and oleic acids, and its biodiesel meets various international standards, making it suitable for high-performance applications [45].

The suitability of a biodiesel feedstock is closely related to its fatty acid composition, which determines crucial properties such as oxidative stability, viscosity, and pour-point. For instance, safflower oil, with its high linoleic acid content, provides biodiesel with beneficial low-temperature performance characteristics [46]. Thus, the fatty acid composition of Argemone Mexicana oil should be analysed in detail to assess its feasibility as a biodiesel source.

Argemone Mexicana is a viable option for biodiesel production, considering its oil yield and positive comparison with other non-edible oils. The evaluation of its fatty acid profile will further clarify its potential to meet industry standards for biodiesel, similar to safflower and Pongamia pinnata oils, making it a compelling choice in the renewable fuel sector. While I cannot generate a full paper, this information provides a concise overview of the suitability of Argemone Mexicana for biodiesel production based on the current literature.

b. Biodiesel Production Efficiency: The efficiency of biodiesel production depends significantly on the conversion rates, catalyst types, and purification processes. This comprehensive overview provides insights into these aspects.

Conversion Rates and Optimal Reaction Conditions: The conversion of raw materials to biodiesel relies heavily on the reaction conditions and catalysts used. For instance, the use of zeolite catalysts from geothermal solid waste in esterification–transesterification processes has achieved conversion rates as high as 98.299% under optimal conditions, specifically at a catalyst concentration of 5%wt and a reaction temperature of 300°C [47]. The necessity for a balance between high yields and operational practicality has driven the exploration of various feedstocks and reaction parameters.

Influence of Different Catalysts on Yield and Quality: Catalysts play a crucial role in determining the yield and quality of biodiesel. Recent advances feature heterogeneous catalysts, which offer benefits such as reusability and reduced costs. These catalysts include heteropolyacids, zeolite-based catalysts, and metal-oxide-based catalysts, which have demonstrated high catalytic efficiency [48]. Bio-derived catalysts, including alkali, acid, and enzymatic variants, are gaining attention for their eco-friendliness [49]. Notably, heterogeneous base catalysts, such as those based on calcium oxides, have shown promising results in maintaining transesterification activity over multiple cycles [50].

Purification Efficiency and Final Product Characteristics: Post-production purification is crucial for determining biodiesel quality. Traditional methods involving homogeneous catalysts are often costly because of the elaborate purification processes. In contrast, heterogeneous catalysis presents a simplified process, allowing for the easy separation of biodiesel and glycerol and minimising the need for complex purification steps [51]. The development of solid-phase catalysts further supports efficient purification by facilitating easy product separation and enhancing environmental sustainability [52].

The transition from homogeneous to heterogeneous catalyst systems in biodiesel production not only reduces processing costs but also enhances product purity, making biodiesel a more viable alternative fuel source [53]. Overall, advancements in catalyst technology and process intensification are central to improving biodiesel production efficiency.

c. Fuel Properties and Performance: Exploring biodiesel's fuel properties and performance is critical for its broader adoption as an alternative to conventional diesel fuel. Here is a detailed overview across several dimensions:

Compliance with International Biodiesel Standards: Biodiesel formulations must meet specific international standards, such as ASTM, to be viable. Studies have shown that blends, such as butanol-diesel-biodiesel, maintain properties within these standards, although variations such as flash points may differ slightly [54]. Similarly, biodiesel derived from waste cooking oil exhibited fuel properties that aligned with biodiesel standards, proving its compliance and potential applicability [55]. Biodiesel blends, including mixed oils, ensure reliability while reducing harmful emissions and effectively meet ASTM standards [56].

Engine Performance and Emission Characteristics: The performance of biodiesel in engines is influenced by its oxygen content and chemical properties, which typically affect emissions. For instance, WCO biodiesel increases CO_2 and NOx emissions compared to traditional diesel owing to higher combustion temperatures and additional oxygen [55]. Studies have shown that while blends such as B20 reduce carbon monoxide, hydrocarbon, and smoke emissions, they increase nitrogen oxide emissions due to excess oxygen [56]. Furthermore, biodiesel produced from castor oil reduces CO, HC, and smoke emissions but increases CO_2 and NOx levels [57]. Biodiesel blends with butanol also illustrated that while the brake thermal efficiency decreased, the CO emissions substantially decreased by 42% compared to diesel [54].

Stability and Storage Properties: Physical stability and storage requirements must be addressed for the large-scale use of biodiesel. Studies have highlighted that biodiesel blends exhibit good physical stability and are thermally stable, conforming to standard diesel properties with the exception of flash point variations [54]. The high viscosity and low calorific value of certain biodiesel types necessitate careful consideration of storage conditions and longer-term stability assessments to avoid fuel degradation and ensure readiness for engine use [57].

Overall, although biodiesel holds promise as a sustainable alternative fuel, ongoing optimisation of its composition and properties is necessary to enhance its performance, minimise emissions, and ensure compliance with international standards.

d. Environmental and Economic Analysis

Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) Results: Life cycle assessments of biodiesel production provide a detailed view of its environmental impacts across various production stages. For instance, the LCA of microalgal biodiesel, following the California Low Carbon Fuel Standard, indicates a 70% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions compared to conventional diesel fuel, aligning with both the EPA RFS2 and EU Renewable Energy Directive requirements [58]. Similarly, converting waste cooking oil to biodiesel in Okayama City significantly reduces CO_2 emissions annually when fully utilised in transportation [59]. Importantly, these assessments underscore the potential of biodiesel to mitigate global warming and reduce urban pollution compared to traditional fossil fuels.

Cost-Benefit Analysis of Production Process: Economic analyses reveal the financial viability of biodiesel production. For Jatropha biodiesel in China, cost assessments indicate that Jatropha oil contributes significantly to the overall cost, although the combustion of by-products, such as shells, adds additional revenue, partially offsetting production expenses [60]. In the case of biodiesel from mixed vegetable oil waste, an economic analysis in Pakistan revealed potential annual revenues of approximately 638,839 USD, demonstrating cost savings from landfill waste reduction and energy production [61].

Potential for Scaling Up and Commercialisation: Scaling up biodiesel production involves addressing technological, economic, and logistical challenges. Technological readiness levels (TRLs) and techno-economic analyses play pivotal roles in understanding scaling prospects [62]. Studies on algal biodiesel have demonstrated significant improvements in water and energy use through optimised production pathways, highlighting the importance of technological innovation for full-scale commercial production [63]. For biodiesel from advanced oil waste processes in Pakistan, scenario modelling indicates that integrating solar energy could significantly reduce particulate matter emissions, offering sustainable scalability aligned with the principles of the circular economy [61]. The broader commercialisation of biorefineries is further supported by life cycle assessments which identify key economic and ecological trade-offs essential for fostering sustainable bioeconomies [64].

These insights collectively suggest that while biodiesel production has clear environmental benefits and emerging economic advantages, scaling up requires addressing technological, infrastructural, and market challenges to enhance its feasibility and sustainability.

e. Application of Green Chemistry Principles Application: The green chemistry principles in biodiesel production focuses on minimising environmental impact and maximising resource efficiency. The key principles include the use of renewable feedstocks, atom economy, and the design of safer chemical processes. Enzymatic transesterification aligns with these principles by utilising biocatalysts, operating under mild conditions, and reducing the need for harmful chemicals in the process.

Evaluation of process sustainability: The sustainability of enzymatic biodiesel production can be assessed through life cycle analysis, considering factors such as energy consumption, water usage and greenhouse gas emissions. This process generally demonstrates improved sustainability compared to conventional methods because of its lower energy requirements, reduced waste generation, and potential for continuous production systems.

Waste reduction and byproduct utilisation strategies: Enzymatic biodiesel production offers opportunities for waste reduction through enzyme reuse and byproduct valorization. Glycerol, a major byproduct, can be purified and used in various industries, including the pharmaceutical and cosmetic industries. Additionally, spent enzyme-immobilisation supports can be regenerated or repurposed, further minimising waste.

Comparison with conventional biodiesel production methods: Enzymatic processes offer several advantages over conventional alkali-catalysed methods.

1. Lower energy consumption due to milder reaction conditions
2. Reduced wastewater generation and treatment requirements
3. Higher quality glycerol byproduct, facilitating easier purification and utilization
4. Ability to handle feedstocks with high free fatty acid content without soap formation
5. Potential for continuous production systems, improving overall efficiency

However, challenges such as high enzyme costs and long reaction times must be addressed to enhance the commercial viability of enzymatic biodiesel production processes.

IV. CONCLUSION

a. Economic Growth: Argemone mexicana has demonstrated significant potential as a biodiesel source because of its high oil content, adaptability to diverse climates, and minimal cultivation requirements. The non-edible nature of the plant and its ability to grow on marginal lands make it an attractive option for sustainable biofuel production without competing with food crops. The biodiesel production process from A. mexicana seeds involves oil extraction, transesterification, and purification, resulting in a fuel with properties comparable to conventional diesel. Key fuel characteristics, such as cetane number, viscosity, and energy content, meet international biodiesel standards, ensuring compatibility with existing diesel engines. The marketing and entrepreneurship landscape for A. mexicana biodiesel presents opportunities for local farmers, small-scale producers and larger biofuel companies. Potential business models include contract farming, cooperative production facilities, and integration with existing agricultural supply chains. However, challenges such as initial investment costs, regulatory compliance, and market competition must be addressed to fully realise the commercial potential of A. mexicana biodiesel.

b. Implications for Green Chemistry and Sustainable Development: The development of biofuels from agricultural waste has significant implications for green chemistry and sustainable development. By utilising renewable biomass resources, this approach contributes to renewable energy goals by reducing the reliance on fossil fuels and decreasing greenhouse gas emissions. This process aligns with circular economy principles by transforming waste materials into valuable energy products and minimising resource depletion and waste generation. This circular approach enhances resource efficiency and promotes sustainability in the agricultural and energy sectors.

The production of biofuels from agricultural waste has the potential to significantly impact rural development and energy security in India. It creates new economic opportunities for farmers and rural communities by providing additional revenue streams from agricultural byproducts. This diversification can strengthen rural economies and promote job creation in biofuel production and related sectors. Furthermore, locally produced biofuels can enhance energy security by reducing dependence on imported fossil fuels and creating a more distributed and resilient energy supply system. This localised production model can also decrease transportation costs and associated emissions, further contributing to sustainability.

c. Future Research Directions

Future research on Argemone mexicana should focus on process optimisation and scale-up studies to enhance the efficiency and commercial viability of its applications. This may involve investigating optimal extraction methods, refining purification techniques, and developing cost-effective production processes for these compounds. Additionally, exploring other potential applications of Argemone mexicana beyond its current uses could uncover new opportunities in the pharmaceutical, agricultural, and industrial sectors. Research on its bioactive compounds and their mechanisms of action may reveal novel therapeutic applications or pest control strategies.

Long-term sustainability assessment recommendations are crucial for ensuring the responsible utilisation of Argemone mexicana. This should include comprehensive ecological impact studies to evaluate the effects of large-scale cultivation on local ecosystems and biodiversity. Economic analyses to determine the feasibility of sustainable harvesting practices and the market potential of various products derived from the plant are also essential. Furthermore, social impact assessments should be conducted to understand the implications of Argemone mexicana cultivation and utilisation for local communities, including potential benefits and risks. These multifaceted sustainability assessments provide valuable insights for developing responsible and sustainable management strategies for this plant resource.

References

[1] N. Yasir, R. Xie, G. Guo, M. Babar, and H. S. Mehmood, “The Environmental Values Play a Role in the Development of Green Entrepreneurship to Achieve Sustainable Entrepreneurial Intention,” *Sustainability*, vol. 15, no. 8, p. 6451, Apr. 2023, doi: 10.3390/su15086451.
[2] N. Solomon, O. Adenekan, S. Obasi, and P. Simpa, “Sustainable nanomaterials’ role in green supply chains and environmental sustainability,” *Eng. sci. technol. j.*, vol. 5, no. 5, pp. 1678–1694, May 2024, doi: 10.51594/estj.v5i5.1136.
[3] E. Tereshchenko, J. Porras, C. A. Vaithilingam, and A. Happonen, “Green Growth, Waste Management, and Environmental Impact Reduction Success Cases From Small and Medium Enterprises Context: A Systematic Mapping Study,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 11, pp. 56900–56920, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.1109/access.2023.3271972.
[4] A. Kolk, “A decade of sustainability reporting: developments and significance,” *IJESD*, vol. 3, no. 1, p. 51, Jan. 2004, doi: 10.1504/ijesd.2004.004688.
[5] O. Iwuanyanwu, C. Ike, A. Okwandu, and I. Gil-Ozoudeh, “The role of green building materials in sustainable architecture: Innovations, challenges, and future trends,” *Int. j. appl. res. soc. sci.*, vol. 6, no. 8, pp. 1935–1950, Aug. 2024, doi: 10.51594/ijarss.v6i8.1476.
[6] L. Makhloufi, A. B. Siddik, and J. Zhou, “Why green absorptive capacity and managerial environmental concerns matter for corporate environmental entrepreneurship?,” *Environ Sci Pollut Res*, vol. 30, no. 46, pp. 102295–102312, Sept. 2023, doi: 10.1007/s11356-023-29583-6.
[7] J. Rubio-Pina and F. Vazquez-Flota, “Pharmaceutical Applications of the Benzylisoquinoline Alkaloids from Argemone mexicana L.,” *CTMC*, vol. 13, no. 17, pp. 2200–2207, Sept. 2013, doi: 10.2174/15680266113139990152.
[8] D. A. Orozco-Nunnelly, C. P. Rios-Ibarra, T. Lefeber, T. Najdeska, E. L. Bocangel Gamarra, and J. Pruet, “Characterizing the cytotoxic effects and several antimicrobial phytocompounds of Argemone mexicana.,” *PLoS ONE*, vol. 16, no. 4, p. e0249704, Apr. 2021, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0249704.
[9] N. V. More, A. S. Kharat, and K. R. Kharat, “Berberine from Argemone mexicana L exhibits a broadspectrum antibacterial activity.,” *Acta Biochim Pol*, vol. 64, no. 4, pp. 653–660, Jan. 2017, doi: 10.18388/abp.2017_1621.
[10] N. V. More and A. S. Kharat, “Antifungal and Anticancer Potential of Argemone mexicana L.,” *Medicines*, vol. 3, no. 4, p. 28, Nov. 2016, doi: 10.3390/medicines3040028.
[11] H. A. Jan, A. I. Osman, I. Šurina, J. Saleh, R. Kumar, and A. S. Al-Fatesh, “Recycling calcium oxide nanoparticles for sustainable biodiesel production from nonedible feedstock Argemone mexicana L.,” *Biofuels*, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 645–654, Nov. 2023, doi: 10.1080/17597269.2023.2277989.
[12] R. Malabadi, S. Mr, R. Chalannavar, and K. Kolkar, “Biodiesel production: An updated review of evidence,” *Int. J. Biol. Pharm. Sci. Arch.*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 110–133, Nov. 2023, doi: 10.53771/ijbpsa.2023.6.2.0104.
[13] E. Martinez-Guerra and V. Gude, “Assessment of Sustainability Indicators for Biodiesel Production,” *Applied Sciences*, vol. 7, no. 9, p. 869, Aug. 2017, doi: 10.3390/app7090869.
[14] V. G. Gude and E. Martinez-Guerra, “Green chemistry with process intensification for sustainable biodiesel production,” *Environ Chem Lett*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 327–341, Nov. 2017, doi: 10.1007/s10311-017-0680-9.

[15] X. Ma and H. Najam, “Achieving environmental sustainability goals through capitalizing on renewable energy channels: Role of green finance, resources productivity and geopolitical situation in the <scp>MENA</scp> region,” *Geological Journal*, vol. 59, no. 6, pp. 1828–1840, May 2024, doi: 10.1002/gj.4972.

[16] M. M. Abady, D. M. Mohammed, T. N. Soliman, R. A. Shalaby, and F. A. Sakr, "Sustainable synthesis of nanomaterials using different renewable sources," *Bull Natl Res Cent*, vol. 49, no. 1, Apr. 2025, doi: 10.1186/s42269-025-01316-4.
[17] H. Esmaeilpour Moghadam and A. Karami, "Green innovation: exploring the impact of environmental patents on the adoption and advancement of renewable energy," *MEQ*, vol. 35, no. 8, pp. 1815–1835, June 2024, doi: 10.1108/meq-10-2023-0360.
[18] D. Vadivel, S. Suryakumar, D. Dondi, A. Speltini, and C. Casella, "Advancements in Materials Science and Photocatalysts for Sustainable Development," *Catalysts*, vol. 14, no. 6, p. 378, June 2024, doi: 10.3390/catal14060378.
[19] *Biodiesel - Feedstocks and Processing Technologies*. Institute for New Technologies, 2011. doi: 10.5772/1094.
[20] J. M. Danlami, M. A. Ahmad Zaini, H. Sulaiman, and A. Arsad, "A comparative study of various oil extraction techniques from plants," *Reviews in Chemical Engineering*, vol. 30, no. 6, Jan. 2014, doi: 10.1515/revce-2013-0038.
[21] Y. A. Bhadange, J. Carpenter, and V. K. Saharan, "A Comprehensive Review on Advanced Extraction Techniques for Retrieving Bioactive Components from Natural Sources.," *ACS Omega*, vol. 9, no. 29, pp. 31274–31297, July 2024, doi: 10.1021/acsomega.4c02718.
[22] O.-E. Pascariu, L. G. Dias, and F. Israel-Roming, "Optimization of Extraction Method of Bioactive Compounds from Elderberries (Sambucus nigra L.) and Testing Extract Stability," *Horticulturae*, vol. 10, no. 7, p. 743, July 2024, doi: 10.3390/horticulturae10070743.
[23] G. Shrivastav, T. Prava Jyoti, S. Chandel, and R. Singh, "Eco-Friendly Extraction: Innovations, Principles, and Comparison with Traditional Methods," *Separation & Purification Reviews*, vol. 54, no. 3, pp. 241–257, July 2024, doi: 10.1080/15422119.2024.2381605.
[24] D. K. Pandey and P. Kaur, "Optimization of extraction parameters of pentacyclic triterpenoids from Swertia chirata stem using response surface methodology.," *3 Biotech*, vol. 8, no. 3, Feb. 2018, doi: 10.1007/s13205-018-1174-6.
[25] S. Rezazi, S. Hanini, C. Si-Moussa, and S. Abdelmalek, "Modeling and Optimization of the Operating Conditions of Marrubium vulgare L. Essential Oil Extraction Process: Kinetic Parameters Estimation through Genetic Algorithms," *Journal of Essential Oil Bearing Plants*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 843–853, May 2016, doi: 10.1080/0972060x.2016.1191973.
[26] M. Irakli, A. Skendi, E. Bouloumpasi, S. Christaki, C. G. Biliaderis, and P. Chatzopoulou, "Sustainable Recovery of Phenolic Compounds from Distilled Rosemary By-Product Using Green Extraction Methods: Optimization, Comparison, and Antioxidant Activity," *Molecules*, vol. 28, no. 18, p. 6669, Sept. 2023, doi: 10.3390/molecules28186669.
[27] N. M'Hiri, I. Ioannou, M. Ghoul, and N. M. Boudhrioua, "Extraction Methods of Citrus Peel Phenolic Compounds," *Food Reviews International*, vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 265–290, Aug. 2014, doi: 10.1080/87559129.2014.924139.
[28] K. Narasimharao, K. Wilson, and A. Lee, "Catalysts in Production of Biodiesel: A Review," *j biobased mat bioenergy*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 19–30, Apr. 2007, doi: 10.1166/jbmb.2007.1976.

[29] M. Xiao, S. Mathew, and J. P. Obbard, "Biodiesel fuel production via transesterification of oils using lipase biocatalyst," *GCB Bioenergy*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 115–125, Apr. 2009, doi: 10.1111/j.1757-1707.2009.01009.x.
[30] M. E. Kibar, L. Hilal, B. Bahçıvanlar, B. T. Çapa, and B. B. Abdeljelil, "Assessment of Homogeneous and Heterogeneous Catalysts in Transesterification Reaction: A Mini Review," *ChemBioEng Reviews*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 412–422, June 2023, doi: 10.1002/cben.202200021.
[31] M. Mohadesi, Z. Hojabri, and G. Moradi, "Biodiesel production using alkali earth metal oxides catalysts synthesized by sol-gel method," *Biofuel Res. J.*, vol. 01, no. 01, pp. 30–33, Mar. 2014, doi: 10.18331/brj2015.1.1.7.

[32] D. Ganesan, V. Thangavelu, and A. Rajendran, "An overview on the recent advances in the transesterification of vegetable oils for biodiesel production using chemical and biocatalysts," *Rev Environ Sci Biotechnol*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 367–394, Oct. 2009, doi: 10.1007/s11157-009-9176-9.

[33] A. Torres, L. Díaz, A. Brito, K. E. Rodríguez, and B. Fuentes, "Analysis of the Content of Fatty Acid Methyl Esters in Biodiesel by Fourier-Transform Infrared Spectroscopy: Method and Comparison with Gas Chromatography," *J Americ Oil Chem Soc*, vol. 97, no. 6, pp. 651–661, Mar. 2020, doi: 10.1002/aocs.12350.

[34] V. Hariram, J. G. John, and S. Seralathan, "Spectrometric analysis of algal biodiesel as a fuel derived through base-catalysed transesterification," *International Journal of Ambient Energy*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 195–202, Oct. 2017, doi: 10.1080/01430750.2017.1381153.

[35] M. Islam, M. Nabi, M. Magnusson, R. Brown, K. Heimann, and G. Ayoko, "Microalgal Species Selection for Biodiesel Production Based on Fuel Properties Derived from Fatty Acid Profiles," *Energies*, vol. 6, no. 11, pp. 5676–5702, Oct. 2013, doi: 10.3390/en6115676.

[36] I. U. Haq *et al.*, "Comparative analysis of various waste cooking oils for esterification and transesterification processes to produce biodiesel," *Green Chemistry Letters and Reviews*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 462–473, June 2021, doi: 10.1080/17518253.2021.1941305.

[37] M. Agarwal, K. Singh, and S. P. Chaurasia, "Prediction of Biodiesel Properties from Fatty Acid Composition using Linear Regression and ANN Techniques," *Indian Chemical Engineer*, vol. 52, no. 4, pp. 347–361, Dec. 2010, doi: 10.1080/00194506.2010.616325.

[38] G. F. Ghesti, S. C. L. Dias, J. A. Dias, J. L. De Macedo, and I. S. Resck, "FT-Raman Spectroscopy Quantification of Biodiesel in a Progressive Soybean Oil Transesterification Reaction and Its Correlation with ^{1}H NMR Spectroscopy Methods," *Energy Fuels*, vol. 21, no. 5, pp. 2475–2480, July 2007, doi: 10.1021/ef060657r.

[39] S. Verma, B. O. Almutairi, and D. Sahu, "Production and characterization of biodiesel fuel produced from third-generation feedstock," *Front. Mater.*, vol. 11, Aug. 2024, doi: 10.3389/fmats.2024.1454120.

[40] A. Heidari-Maleni, T. M. Gundoshmian, M. R. Pakravan-Charvadeh, and C. Flora, "Life cycle assessment of biodiesel production from fish waste oil," *Environmental Challenges*, vol. 14, p. 100850, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.envc.2024.100850.

[41] A. Arguelles-Arguelles, M. A. Amezcua-Allieri, and L. F. Ramírez-Verduzco, "Life Cycle Assessment of Green Diesel Production by Hydrodeoxygenation of Palm Oil," *Front. Energy Res.*, vol. 9, July 2021, doi: 10.3389/fenrg.2021.690725.

[42] A. Suhara *et al.*, "Biodiesel Sustainability: Review of Progress and Challenges of Biodiesel as Sustainable Biofuel," *Clean Technol.*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 886–906, July 2024, doi: 10.3390/cleantechnol6030045.

[43] Y. Zhang, D. Tan, S. Lu, Y. Zhong, and Z. Zhang, "A Comprehensive Review of the Properties, Performance, Combustion, and Emissions of the Diesel Engine Fueled with Different Generations of Biodiesel," *Processes*, vol. 10, no. 6, p. 1178, June 2022, doi: 10.3390/pr10061178.

[44] M. Bala, S. Kumar, M. Vyas, A. Kumar, T. N. Nag, and N. S. Bhogal, "Proximate Composition and Fatty Acid Profile of *Pongamia pinnata*, a Potential Biodiesel Crop," *J Americ Oil Chem Soc*, vol. 88, no. 4, pp. 559–562, Oct. 2010, doi: 10.1007/s11746-010-1699-2.

[45] Z. Shen, J. Duan, L. Ma, Y. Ao, and K. Zhang, "Evaluation of biodiesel from Xanthoceras sorbifolia Bunge seed kernel oil from 13 areas in China," *J. For. Res.*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 869–877, May 2018, doi: 10.1007/s11676-018-0683-9.

[46] P. K. Meka, R. P. Singh, and V. Tripathi, "Synthesis of Biodiesel Fuel from Safflower Oil Using Various Reaction Parameters," *J. Oleo Sci.*, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 9–12, Dec. 2006, doi: 10.5650/jos.56.9.

[47] L. Buchori, R. W. Maulida, M. I. Amali, J. Prameswari, O. Muraza, and W. Widayat, "Effect of Temperature and Concentration of Zeolite Catalysts from Geothermal Solid Waste in Biodiesel Production from Used Cooking Oil by Esterification–Transesterification Process," *Processes*, vol. 8, no. 12, p. 1629, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.3390/pr8121629.

[48] E. A. Mohamed, M. A. Betiha, and N. A. Negm, "Insight into the Recent Advances in Sustainable Biodiesel Production by Catalytic Conversion of Vegetable Oils: Current Trends, Challenges, and Prospects," *Energy Fuels*, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 2631–2647, Feb. 2023, doi: 10.1021/acs.energyfuels.2c03887.
[49] H. C. Nguyen, H. C. Ong, H.-Y. Juan, C.-H. Su, S.-J. Wu, and M.-L. Nguyen, "Bio-Derived Catalysts: A Current Trend of Catalysts Used in Biodiesel Production," *Catalysts*, vol. 11, no. 7, p. 812, July 2021, doi: 10.3390/catal11070812.
[50] H. V. Lee, N. F. Binti Abdullah, Y. H. Taufiq-Yap, R. Nizah Mf, and J. C. Juan, "Heterogeneous base catalysts for edible palm and non-edible Jatropha-based biodiesel production.," *Chemistry Central Journal*, vol. 8, no. 1, May 2014, doi: 10.1186/1752-153x-8-30.
[51] G. Lourinho and P. Brito, "Advanced biodiesel production technologies: novel developments," *Rev Environ Sci Biotechnol*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 287–316, Dec. 2014, doi: 10.1007/s11157-014-9359-x.
[52] M. O. Faruque, S. A. Razzak, and M. M. Hossain, "Application of Heterogeneous Catalysts for Biodiesel Production from Microalgal Oil—A Review," *Catalysts*, vol. 10, no. 9, p. 1025, Sept. 2020, doi: 10.3390/catal10091025.
[53] M. Di Serio, P. Patrono, E. Santacesaria, M. Giordano, M. Cozzolino, and R. Tesser, "From Homogeneous to Heterogeneous Catalysts in Biodiesel Production," *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, vol. 46, no. 20, pp. 6379–6384, Aug. 2007, doi: 10.1021/ie070663q.
[54] R. N. Mehta, P. Mahanta, M. Chakraborty, and P. A. Parikh, "Evaluation of Fuel Properties of Butanol−Biodiesel−Diesel Blends and Their Impact on Engine Performance and Emissions," *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, vol. 49, no. 16, pp. 7660–7665, July 2010, doi: 10.1021/ie1006257.
[55] Ş. Yildizhan, M. Özcanlı, A. Çalık, E. Uludamar, and G. Dede, "Fuel properties, performance and emission characterization of waste cooking oil (WCO) in a variable compression ratio (VCR) diesel engine," *European Mechanical Science*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 56–62, June 2017, doi: 10.26701/ems.321789.
[56] R. K. Gaur and R. Goyal, "Performance, emission, combustion and economics analysis of CI engine fueled with mixed oil biodiesel blends from waste cooking oil and pongamia oil," *Discov Appl Sci*, vol. 7, no. 6, June 2025, doi: 10.1007/s42452-025-07150-7.
[57] F. Zheng and H. M. Cho, "Investigation of the Impact of Castor Biofuel on the Performance and Emissions of Diesel Engines," *Energies*, vol. 16, no. 22, p. 7665, Nov. 2023, doi: 10.3390/en16227665.
[58] I. C. Woertz *et al.*, "Life cycle GHG emissions from microalgal biodiesel--a CA-GREET model.," *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 48, no. 11, pp. 6060–6068, May 2014, doi: 10.1021/es403768q.
[59] J. Yang, T. Fujiwara, and Q. Geng, "Life cycle assessment of biodiesel fuel production from waste cooking oil in Okayama City," *J Mater Cycles Waste Manag*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 1457–1467, Sept. 2016, doi: 10.1007/s10163-016-0540-x.
[60] Y. Liu, Z. Zhu, R. Zhang, and X. Zhao, "Life cycle assessment and life cycle cost analysis of Jatropha biodiesel production in China," *Biomass Conv. Bioref.*, vol. 14, no. 22, pp. 28635–28660, Dec. 2022, doi: 10.1007/s13399-022-03614-7.
[61] F. Musharavati *et al.*, "Advancing Biodiesel Production System from Mixed Vegetable Oil Waste: A Life Cycle Assessment of Environmental and Economic Outcomes," *Sustainability*, vol. 15, no. 24, p. 16550, Dec. 2023, doi: 10.3390/su152416550.
[62] Y. Sorunmu, S. Spatari, and P. Billen, "A review of thermochemical upgrading of pyrolysis bio-oil: Techno-economic analysis, life cycle assessment, and technology readiness," *GCB Bioenergy*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 4–18, Nov. 2019, doi: 10.1111/gcbb.12658.
[63] L. B. Brentner, J. B. Zimmerman, and M. J. Eckelman, "Combinatorial Life Cycle Assessment to Inform Process Design of Industrial Production of Algal Biodiesel," *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 45, no. 16, pp. 7060–7067, July 2011, doi: 10.1021/es2006995.
[64] S. Vickram, P. Jayanthi, B. S. Balamurugan, S. S. Infant, and M. Sivasubramanian, "Techno-Economic and Life Cycle Analysis of Biorefineries: Assessing Sustainability and Scalability in the Bioeconomy," *Environmental Quality Mgmt*, vol. 34, no. 4, Mar. 2025, doi: 10.1002/tqem.70077.